

ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WHEAT FARMERS IN JIGAWA STATE, NIGERIA

¹Mohammed, F. A., ²Sani, M. H., ¹Ibrahim, A. A. and ²Jibril, S. A.

¹Department of Agricultural Extension Services, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria. ²Department of Agricultural Economics, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi. Corresponding Authors' E-mail: famohammed@unimaid.edu.ng Tel.: +2348035321975

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to analyzed the socio-economic and institutional characteristics of wheat farmers in Jigawa State, Nigeria. Multi-stage sampling procedure was used to select 503 wheat farmers (352 men and 151 women) from the study area. Data were collected through the use of structured questionnaire and analyzed; using descriptive and inferential statistics (Tobit regression). The result revealed that majority (70%) of the respondents were male and 30% were female. About 56.0% male and 49.0% women respondents were within the age bracket of 30-39 years and 20-29 years respectively. It was revealed that 91.5% male and 95.4% female respondents were married. Furthermore, 58.3% of the female respondents had primary level of education. Meanwhile, 55.4% male and 56.3% female respondents had a household size between 6-10 persons and 1-5 persons respectively. Majority (64.2%) of the female respondents had a farm size between 0.5-1.0 hectares. About 54.8% male and 67.5% female respondents had 6-10 years and 1-5 years of farming experience. Furthermore, 68.5% of the male respondents had access to extension from Jigawa Agricultural and Rural Development Authority (JARDA) while, 51.7% women had no contact. On the other hand, 32.4% of male had extension contact twice a year while 32.5% female had contacted once a year. The result further revealed that 64.9% female respondents practice mixed cropping. 52,0% male practice bed drill method of sowing. The result further shows that majority (63.3% males and 67.5% female) respondents use the LCRIWHIT-4 (Atilla-Gan-Atilla) variety of wheat, 53.0% of female respondents applied organic manure to their farmland and 72.2% of the female respondents used machine in processing the wheat grains. Majority (68%) female respondents used wheat to prepare traditional dishes. The result further shows that 61.6% of the female respondents believed that high quality wheat variety is rewarded by substantial price premium. Tobit regression estimate of the extent of participation in wheat farming had a chi-square of 54.43 and significant at P<0.01 level and Log likelihood of 364. Men and women participated in wheat farming, except that, on average male respondents had better access to land, inputs and extension services than the female wheat farmers. Policy makers should ensure that farmers receive the latest information on wheat agronomy through regular trainings.

Keywords: Socio-economic, Institutional, Characteristics, Wheat Farming.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum) is a winter crop cultivated and grow best in the temperate region of the world. China is the largest producer of wheat in the world with 134.3 million metric tons (MMT), India 98.5MMT, Russia 85.9 MMT and United States of America 47.3 MMT. Africa produces 27 MT and Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) produced a total of 7.5MT (Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Data (FAOSTAT, 2019).



Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT) Volume 3, Number 1, June, 2023 ICCN (Print), 2011, 1997, ICCN (Online), 2011, 1993



ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

Nigeria's total local wheat production in 2020 was about 200,000 MT and yearly demand stands between 4.5 and 5.0 MMT (FAO, 2020), making Nigeria's local wheat production grossly insufficient to meet demand. Low productivity wheat in Nigeria is a threat to food security and wellbeing in both rural and urban populations. Wheat is a priority agricultural commodity, recognized as a vital dietary component due to it perceived health promoting and protecting attributes. It plays a vital role in the supply of raw material to the flour industry, and a great source of fiber, vitamins and minerals (Drucza and Peveri, 2018).

Nigeria has the potential to increase wheat production under both irrigation and rainfed conditions, because of its favourable agro-ecological zone. Moreover, it is produced mostly under irrigation, during the cool dry harmattan period, between October-to-February in the northern states. Over 1.5 million farmers cultivate wheat using irrigation in the wetland areas of the Jigawa State, with significant potential returns (Saleh, 2016). Rain fed wheat are also grown on the highlands of Mambilla Plateau in Taraba State, Jos Plateau in Plateau State and Obudu in Cross River State (Okpara, Stringer and Dougil, 2016; Olabanji, 2016).

Wheat farming is a livelihood strategy carried out according to gender roles. Technological breakthrough has resulted to increased productivity and famers have adopted various cultivation practices, yet the crop yield realized on famers field are considerably low. However, there is sufficient potential to increase wheat production, but only if gender access to productive resources such as access to land, credit and extension services, with regard to wheat farming is reoriented and critically looked at.

The broad objective of this study was to analyzed socio-economic and institutional characteristics of wheat farmers in Jigawa State, Nigeria.

The specific objectives were to;

- i. describe the socio-economic and institutional characteristic of the respondents;
- ii. examine wheat farming technologies among the respondents.
- iii. discuss the extent of participation in wheat farming by the respondents

METHODOLOGY

The study area was Jigawa State, Nigeria located between Latitudes 11.00°N and 13.00°N of the Equator and Longitudes 8.00°E and 10.15°E of the Greenwich Meridian. The State has a land size of 23,287.0 square kilometers. The 2006 population of the study area was 4,361,002, projected to 6,323,452.9 people based on 3.2% growth rate (National Population Commission [NPC], 2021).

The State enjoys some water bodies such as the Hadejia, Kafin Hausa and Iggi Rivers with a number of tributaries feeding extensive marshlands in north-eastern part of the state. A vast fertile arable land with appreciably cold Harmattan wind blowing from the north during the wheat-growing season (November to February). In addition, the area provides favourable conditions to almost all tropical crops, and livestock with over 80% of the population engaged in subsistence farming and animal husbandry (Jigawa State Ministry of Agriculture, 2020), thus constituting one of its highly prized natural resources.

Sampling Technique

The data for the study were obtained by the use of structured questionnaire. A multistage sampling technique was employed in the selection of respondents for this study. In the first stage twelve (12) Local Government Areas (LGAs) were purposively selected based on comparative advantage in wheat production in the area. In the second stage three (3) communities were selected from each of the LGAs to give a total of 36 communities using simple random sampling technique. The third stage involved proportionate and random



ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893



selection of 503 wheat farmers, obtained from the list of reconnaissance survey. Finally, using stratified sampling method, 70% and 30% were randomly selected to arrive at 352 men and 151 women wheat farmers.

Analytical Technique

Descriptive and Inferential statistics were used for the analysis of data. The descriptive statistics includes table of frequencies, mean and percentages for the presentation of the socioeconomic and institutional characteristics of the respondents as well as the wheat farming technologies. Tobit regression analysis was the inferential statistical tool used to discuss the extent of participation in wheat farming by the respondents. The Tobit model for continuous dependent variable is specified by Agwu, (2014) as:

 $Y_i^* = \beta_0 + \beta X_i + \mu_i$ $Y_i = Y_i^* if \beta_0 + \beta X_i + \mu_i > 0$ (1) $Y_i = 0$, if $\beta_0 + \beta X_i + \mu_i \leq 0$ where;

 Y_i = Dependent variable (Observed)

 Y_i^* = Latent variable (Unobserved)

 X_i = Independent variables

 β = Coefficient of the explanatory variables

 μ_i = Error term

The proposed model is therefore specified as:

Y = the dependents variables: Extent of participation in wheat farming base on total land area under wheat cultivation by farmer

 $Ep = \beta_{0+} \beta_{1} X_{1} + \beta_{2} X_{2} + \beta_{3} X_{3+} \beta_{4} X_{4} + \beta_{5} X_{5} + ... + \beta_{11} X_{11} + U (2)$ where:

 X_1 - X_{11} = Independent variables, defined as:

 $X_1 = age (in years)$

 $X_2 = \text{gender (male} = 1, \text{ female} = 2)$

 $X_3 = \text{marital status (married} = 1, \text{ otherwise} = 0)$

 X_4 = household size (number of people assisting)

 $X_5 = Labour$

 X_6 = educational level (years)

 $X_7 =$ Major occupation (1=farming, 2=otherwise)

 $X_8 =$ farming experience (years)

 $X_9 = access to inputs (access = 1 otherwise = 2)$

 X_{10} = extension contact (number of days)

 X_{11} = membership of cooperative association (member = 1, otherwise = 2)

 β_0 = Constant

 β_1 - β_{11} = regression coefficient

U = error term.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-economic and Institutional Characteristics of the Respondents

The result in Table 1 shows the distribution of respondents by socio-economic and institutional characteristics. It revealed that the majority (70%) of wheat farmers were male, while 30% were female. This means that, male respondents participate in wheat farming more than their female counterparts. This could be related to the dominant cultural views of labour in some part of the study areas which do not see farm work as a woman task, meanwhile some





women participated as proxy farmers. This corresponds with Famuyiwa *et al.* (2017), who found that more (74.17%) male were into cocoa farming than female 25.83%. The revealed mean ages of male and female wheat farmers as 33.8 and 31.6 years old, respectively. Implying that the respondents were young active adults in their productive ages who are supposed to have adequate knowledge, attitude and practices in wheat farming. About 33.5% male and 58.3% female respondents had attained secondary and primary level of education respectively. There is possibility that very young and moderately educated farmer may have the ability to learn and practiced new improved wheat farming technologies faster than the old aged farmer. As Clark *et al.*, (2015), found that 24.0% years' healthy young people demonstrate high performance in learning new skills than older (66.5%) adults who demonstrate lower performance in more complex task. Table 1 further revealed that 91.5% male and 95.4% female respondents were married, implying that wheat farming is dominated by married individuals who could be committed and be willing to acquire knowledge and practice wheat farming in the course of their extension trainings.

The result on farm size revealed that majority (64.0%) female had farm size between 0.5-1.0 hectares while, 48.9% male had farm size between 1.5-2.0 hectares. The mean farm size for male and female respondents were 1.4 and 1.1 hectares respectively. This indicate that the respondents were small scale farmers. Nevertheless, they may still utilize their knowledge and practices positively to increase yield with good management practices. Nwaobiala and Uchechi (2016) in a study also found mean farm size of 1.3 hectares.

The result on farming experience reveals that majority (54.8%) of the male respondents had 6-10 years of wheat farming experience while, 67.5% of the female respondents had 1-5 years of wheat farming experience. The mean years of farming experience for the male and female were 5.7 and 5.3 years, respectively. This implies that, five years of wheat farming experience could give enough experience, if farmers are properly supported with the required extension services, and can facilitate increased knowledge, attitude and participation in wheat farming practices. This is in tandem with Salau, *et al.* (2017), that 65.4% of the respondents had between 6-10 years' experience in farming. About 68.5% male and 43.7% female respondents had access to extension services from JARDA. 32.4% male respondents had extension contact twice a year while 32.5% female had contact annually. This corroborates with the findings of Ragasa *et al.*, (2012) finds, women farmers compare to male counterpart lag behind in exploiting the benefits from extension services.





| Table 1. Sec | io-economic and | Institutional | Characteristics | of the Respondents |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Table 1: 500 | io-economic and | . msututionai y | Characteristics | of the Respondents |





Wheat Farming Technologies

The distribution of respondents based on wheat farming technologies is presented in Table 2. The result shows that 51.1% male and 35.1% female practice mono-cropping, while 48.9% male and 64.9% female respondents practice mixed cropping. This implies that monocropping seems to be the widespread cropping pattern in wheat cultivation in the study area. The result in Table 2 further revealed that majority (52,0% male and 50.3% female) respondents practice bed drill method of sowing wheat. This implies that wheat farmers in Jigawa State followed the recommended practice for sowing wheat which is drilling method technology. This could be to ensures seed get covered by the soil to prevent the seed eaten by birds.

The result in Table 2 also shows that majority (63.3% males and 67.5% female) respondents use the LCRIWHIT-4 (Atilla-Gan-Atilla) variety of wheat, as 22.7% male attest that, the preferred variety matures in less than 90 days, while 25.8% female respondents believed that the variety gives higher yield per hectare. This implied the wheat farmers had knowledge on varieties of wheat used in the area. Namfumba *et al.* (2013) study reveals that 12% male and 7% female respondents prefer hybrid varieties that matures early and susceptible to flooding at maturity stage. The result in Table 2 further reveals that about 46.9% male respondents apply fertilizer for soil amendments, while 53.0% of female respondents applied organic manure to their farmland. It could be that; the women are constrained with cash to buy fertilizer, that is why they go for organic manure which can be obtained from their homes.

The result in Table 2 also shows that 42% male respondents engaged in primary processing while, 51% female respondents were into secondary processing. This implies that female respondents were more into secondary processing and value addition. This might be as a result of the females having knowledge on processing skills. This study conforms with Gbolagade *et al.* (2015) who reported that majority of women are into processing in Nigeria as a result of their responsibility in diversifying livelihood in the rural household. It also shows that majority (52.3% male and 72.2% female) respondents used machine in grinding and milling the wheat grains. This implies the respondents had knowledge and practice the use of the equipment, which can ease and facilitate their work. This is contrary with Ugboaja and Ezebuiro (2017), who indicated that farmers and processors use crude and rudimentary technologies for both production and processing. The result from Table 2 also revealed that, majority (53.4% male and 52.3% female affirm that wheat harvesting was a difficult task.

Furthermore, the results from the Table 2 shows that majority (66.2% male and 68% female) respondents attest that wheat as household food item was used to prepare traditional dishes among which include; *Alkaki, Punkaso and Alkhubus*. This implies that wheat is an important cereal crop used for making human food. This concurs with Drucza and Peveri, (2018) who stated that wheat is an important staple food crop with great source of fibre, vitamin B and minerals. Furthermore, 52% male and 47.7% female respondents believed that grading and standardization of the wheat grain is the value addition for better pricing.





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

Table 2: Wheat Production and Processing Technologies

| Production and processing Technologies | Male (n = 352) | | Female (n = 151) | |
|--|----------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| Cropping pattern | | | | |
| Mono (Sole) | 180 | 51.1 | 53 | 34.5 |
| Mixed | 172 | 48.9 | 98 | 65.6 |
| Planting methods | | | | |
| Drill sowing | 183 | 52.0 | 76 | 50.0 |
| Transplanting | 136 | 38.7 | 50 | 32.8 |
| Broadcasting | 33 | 9.3 | 25 | 17.2 |
| Wheat variety used | | | | |
| LACRIWHIT-4(Atilla-Gan-Atilla) | 223 | 63.4 | 102 | 67.5 |
| LCRIWHIT-5 (Norman) | 19 | 5.4 | 22 | 14.6 |
| LCRIWHIT-6(Reyna 28) | 26 | 7.4 | 7 | 4.6 |
| LACRIWHIT-9 (PASTOR) | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Others | 83 | 23.6 | 19 | 12.6 |
| Reason for preferred variety | | | | |
| Variety matures in less than 90 days | 80 | 22.7 | 53 | 35.1 |
| current best irrigated varieties | 80 | 22.7 | 24 | 15.9 |
| Gives higher yield/ha | 75 | 21.3 | 39 | 25.8 |
| Easy to harvest | 77 | 21.9 | 27 | 17.9 |
| Resistance to pest/disease | 33 | 9.3 | 8 | 5.4 |
| Soil fertility management | | | | |
| Use of fertilizer | 165 | 46.9 | 33 | 21.9 |
| Organic manure | 84 | 23.9 | 80 | 52.3 |
| Crop rotation with legumes | 41 | 11.6 | 19 | 12.6 |
| 2 or 3 of the above | 62 | 17.6 | 19 | 13.2 |
| Processing method: | | | | |
| Primary processing | 148 | 42.0 | 59 | 39.1 |
| Secondary processing | 160 | 45.5 | 77 | 51.0 |
| None | 44 | 12.5 | 15 | 9.9 |
| Equipment use in processing | | | | |
| Traditional Equipment | 119 | 33.8 | 28 | 18.5 |
| Use of machines | 184 | 52.3 | 109 | 72.2 |
| None | 49 | 13.9 | 14 | 9.3 |

Source: Field survey, 2021



ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893



Table 2: Wheat Production and Processing Technologies Cont'd.

| Production and processing Technologies | Male (n = 352) | | Female (n = 151) | |
|---|----------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| | Freq. | % | Freq. | % |
| Ease of harvesting wheat | | | | |
| Easy | 52 | 14.8 | 17 | 11.3 |
| Difficult | 188 | 53.4 | 79 | 52.3 |
| Normal | 56 | 15.9 | 39 | 25.8 |
| None | 56 | 15.9 | 16 | 10.6 |
| Uses to which wheat is applied to as | | | | |
| household food items | | | | |
| Traditional dishes (Alkaki, Punkaso, Alkubus) | 233 | 66.2 | 103 | 68.0 |
| Bread making | 17 | 4.8 | 22 | 15.0 |
| Livestock feed | 8 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.6 |
| 2 or 3 of the above | 29 | 8.2 | 7 | 4.6 |
| None | 65 | 18.5 | 16 | 10.3 |
| Value addition for better pricing | | | | |
| Cleaning | 94 | 26.7 | 51 | 33.8 |
| Grading and standardization | 183 | 52.0 | 72 | 47.7 |
| Packaging | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| None | 74 | 21.0 | 28 | 18.5 |

Source: Field survey, 2021

Tobit Regression on Extent of Participation in Wheat Farming

Tobit regression was used to estimate the extent of participation in wheat farming practices. The model recorded (Table 3) a chi-square of 54.43 which is significant at 1% level and Log likelihood of 364.80. These results show that the model fit very well, this was also supported by the value of sigma which is highly significant at 1%. The results (Table 3) on extent of participation in wheat farming revealed that the coefficient of age, gender, household size, educational level, wheat farming experience, farm size, and access to farming inputs and extension services were all positive and significant at 1% level of probability. While, marital status, labour and membership of association were positive and significant at 5% level of probability except main occupation which is not significant. This implies that the variables are strongly associated with extent of participation in wheat farming activities.

The result (Table 3) reveals that coefficient of age was positive and significant at 1% level of probability, implies that a year increase in farmer's age will result to increase in participation in wheat farming practices by 8.9%. High participation in wheat farming activities by farmers in the study area, is significantly influenced by an increase in age of farmer. Coefficient of gender (Table 3) was positive and significant at 1% level of probability implies that male respondents were more extensively participating in wheat farming activities than the females because they had larger farm than the female. This could be explained by the fact that. Socio-cultural norms do not allow women to participate in wheat farming activities in the study area. The women who are participating in threshing or winnowing activities were either widows or the elderly. The result is in consistent with the findings of Okwoche *et al.* (2012) who noted that females are often married to the males and so might not out rightly own land. Household size indicated a positive coefficient and significant at 1% level of probability This positive coefficient illustrates that, as household size increased, participation in wheat farming activities would increase.

The coefficient of educational level was positive and significant at 1% level of probability (Table 3). This implies that education had a significant effect on extensive



ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893



participation. Positive relationship reveals that increase in education will enhance farmers' willingness to participate extensively in wheat farming activities, as such increased education level increases participation in wheat farming activities. Coefficient of wheat farming experience (Table 3) was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This implies that with more years of experience in wheat farming activities, the more likelihood of extensive participation in wheat farming activities. Elzo *et al.* (2010) was of the view that more experienced farmer manages and expand their production better, receive high revenue than the non-experienced farmer.

As presented in Table 3, coefficient of extension services was positive and significant at 1% level of probability. This implies positive effect on extent of participation on wheat farming activities. The positive relationship of extension services means it provide information on improved wheat farming. This corresponds to Injamu and Makokha (2014) who discovered that socio-economic, socio-cultural, training and extension services significantly affect participation in agricultural production in Kenya.

Table 3: Tobit Regression on Extent of participation in wheat farming

| Variables | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-value |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Age | 0.0888 | 0.0240 | 3.7*** |
| Gender | 0.2765 | 0.09816 | 2.8*** |
| Marital status | 0.0918 | 0.0380 | 2.4** |
| Household size | 0.0765 | 0.0139 | 5.5*** |
| Labour | 0.0677 | 0.0210 | 3.2** |
| Educational level | 0.0357 | 0.0149 | 2.4*** |
| Main occupation | 0.0131 | 0.0163 | 0.8NS |
| Wheat farming experience | 0.2761 | 0.0849 | 3.3*** |
| Farm size | 0.1897 | 0.0449 | 4.2*** |
| Access to farming inputs | 0.0763 | 0.0280 | 2.7*** |
| Access to extension services | 0.1090 | 0.0267 | 4.1*** |
| Membership of association | 0.0524 | 0.0232 | 2.3** |
| Constant | 0.3534 | 0.0977 | 3.6*** |
| Sigma | 0.1148 | 0.0036 | |
| Log likelihood | 364.80102 | | |
| LR chi2(12) | 54.43 | | |

Note: **, *** and NS are significant at 5%, 1% and not significant, respectively.

Source: Field survey, 2021

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Men and women participated in wheat farming, except that on average the men had better access to land, fertilizer and extension services than their female counterpart because of their social network. Seed companies should partner with research institutes and extension organization for testing and release of high-quality wheat seed variety in sufficient quantities and to provide offtake to farmers to increase productivity. Policy to ensure that farmers receive the latest information on wheat agronomy through regular trainings.

REFERENCES

Agwu, N. M., Anyanwu, C. I. and Mandie, E. I. (2014). Tobit Regression Estimate of Determinants of Maize Commercialization Intensity. Agricultural Journal of Applied Research (AJAR) 7(14): 2131-2141.



Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT) Volume 3, Number 1, June, 2023 ICON (D. 1) 2011 1002



ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

- Clark, C., Freedberg, M., Hazeltine, E. and Voss, M. (2015). Are there Age-Related Difference in the Ability to Learn Configural Responses? *PLOS ONE Journal* **10**(8): 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1317/journal,pone0137260.
- Drucza, K. and Peveri, V. (2018). Literature on Gendered Agriculture in Pakistan: Neglect of women's contributions. Women's Studies International Forum (6) 180-189.
- Elzo, A., Suwanasopee, T., Yeamkong, S. and Koonawootrittriron, S. (2010). *Effect of Experience, Education, Record, Labour and Decision Making on Monthly Milk Yield and Revenue of Dairy Farms Supported by* a Private Organization in Central Thailand. *Asian Journal of Animal Science.* **23**(2):814-824.
- Famuyiwa, B. S., Aroyenu, S. O., Adeyemi, E. A., Okeniyi, M. O., Agbonglarhouyi, A. E., and Yahaya, A. T. (2017). Farmers Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Cocoa Certification in Southwest Nigeria. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Agricultural Extension Society of Nigeria (AESON). University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria, 23-26 April 2017. Pp 17-26.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2019). The State of Food and Agriculture 2010- 11: Women in Agriculture- Closing the gender gap for development. Retrieved June 28, 2019 from FAO website:
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2020). *Gender Differences in Asset*. ESA Working paper No. 11-12 prepared by SOFA Team, FAO: Agricultural Development Economics Division.
- Gbolagade, B., Olaleye, D. and Komolafe, S. (2015). Assessment of Indigenous Method of Processing Shea-butter among Women in Ilorin East Local Government Area of Kwara State, Nigeria. *Online Journal of Agricultural Science*, **60** (2):199-210.
- Injamu, R.S. M. (2014). Factors influencing Rural women participation in Agricultural Food Production in Kenya: A Case Study of Matungu Sub-county in Kakamega County. Theses, Master of Arts Degree in Project Planning and Management, Faculty of Education, University of Nairobi Kenya.
- Jigawa State Agricultural and Rural Development Authority (JARDA), (2021).
- Nanfumba, D., Turyahabwe, N., Ssebuliba, J., Kakuru, W., Kaugule, J., Omio, S. and Samuka, M. (2013). Participatory Identification of Farmer Acceptable Improved Rice Varieties for Rain-fed Lowland Ecologies, in Uganda. *African Crop Science Journal*, **21** (supplement):683–691.
- National Population Commission [NPC] (2021). Nigeria's National Population Census Report of Nigeria, Population and Development Review.
- Nwaobiala, C.U., and Uchechi, T.N. (2016). *Utilization of Cocoyam Production Technologies among Women Farmers in Abia State*, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension* 20(1):13-26.
- Okpara, U.T., Stringer, L.C., and Dougill, A. J. (2016). *Lake Drying and Livelihood Dynamics in Chad*: Unravelling the Mechanisms, Contexts and Responses. Retrieved 27 April 2020 at (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by4.0/).
- Okwocha V. A., Osogwa, B. C. and Obinne, P. C. (2012). Evaluation of Agricultural Credit Utilization by Cooperative Farmers in Benue State of Nigeria. European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences, 47:123-133
- Olabanji, O. G. (2016). "Nigeria has what it takes to produce wheat" The Guardian Newspaper, Thursday, 15 September.





- Ragasa, C., Berhane, G., Tadessa, F. and Seyoum, A. (2013). Gender Differences in Access to Extension Services and Agricultural Productivity. The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension, 19(5), 437–468.
- Salau, E.S., Kachiro, S.L., Darason, Y. M. and Cyril, J.E. (2017). Benefit of Mobile Phone Usage among Cassava Processors in Lafiya Local Government Area of Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Conference Proceedings of the 22ND Annual of Agricultural Extension Society of Nigeria (AESON). University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria, 23-26 April. Pp.78-8
- Saleh, S. (2016). Prospects and Challenges of Production in Nigeria. The National Pre-Season Training Workshop for Farmers on Irrigated Wheat Production, Processing and Utilization, Birnin Kebbi, Kebbi State, Nigeria, 10th 13th October.
- Ugboaja, C.I. and Ezebuiro, N.C *Technologies Available for Empowering Women in Cassava Production in.* (2017). Abia State, Nigeria. *Conference Proceedings of the 22ND Annual of Agricultural Extension Society of Nigeria* (AESON). University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria, 23-26 April. Pp.201-216.