

Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT) Volume 5, Number 1A, 2025 ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893



ASSESSMENT OF ADOPTION OF GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES AND MOST CULTIVATED ARABLE CROPS AMONG ARABLE CROP FARMERS IN BORNO STATE, NIGERIA

¹Aliyu, Y.M., ²Bukar, H. L. and ³Kareto, B. A.

¹Department of Agricultural Extension Services, Faculty of Agriculture,
University of Maiduguri, Borno State.

²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Maiduguri, Borno State.

³Biology Department, Faculty of Life Science University of Maiduguri, Borno State.

Corresponding Author's Email: aliyumudi2@gmail.com, GSM: 08067941311 and 09022558260

ABSTRACT

The study examined the adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) and the most cultivated arable crops among farmers in Borno State, Nigeria. A multistage sampling technique was used to select 410 respondents from the study areas. Data were collected using structured questionnaires and analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. Male (73.85%) dominated arable crop production, (32.82%) had secondary education. The findings revealed that maize (82.56%), Bambara nut (76.15%) and groundnut (73.07%) were the most commonly cultivated crops. The most frequently adopted GAPs include fertilizer application (80.17%), seed treatment (65.91%), and proper spacing (64.79%). The results of Logistic regression analysis showed that farm size (P<0.05), cooperative membership (P<0.01), and access to credit (P<0.01) were significant in influencing the adoption of GAP in the study area. The results also revealed that the major constraints to adoption of GAP were inadequate input supply (78.46%), lack of attending meeting and training (74.6%), insecurity (74.6%), and poor storage facilities (73.08%) to mention few. The study concluded that farm size, membership of association and access to credit were the factor influencing the adoption of GAP in the study area. This study recommends that; Development partners and NGOs should collaborate with research institutions to introduce and scale up climate-smart GAP technologies that are adaptable to the local environment. Risk management tools such as weather-indexed insurance schemes should also be introduced.

Keywords: GAP, Arable, Crops, Adoption, Constraints

INTRODUCTION

One key objective of Nigeria's agricultural development is to shift from low-yield subsistence farming to a high-output, agro-industrial system through improved technology (Nkwuagba and Nkamnebe, 2024). Since many rural poor depend on crop farming (Mathew, 2024), Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) are promoted to enhance productivity, efficiency, and income (Abiodun, 2016). Introduced by FAO, GAPs ensure sustainable, environmentally sound production while improving food quality, safety, and market access (FAO, 2021). Adoption stabilizes yields, reduces post-harvest losses, and boosts market value, though increased supply may affect incomes (Adetarani *et al.*, 2021). Farmers also use GAPs to avoid disadvantages from poor practices and to meet food safety standards in competitive markets (Adetarani *et al.*, 2021).

FAO's framework highlights soil and water management, crop and animal health, processing, and worker safety, all vital for national food security (Omolehin *et al.*, 2019). Yet, Nigerian agriculture faces challenges including land degradation, pests, outdated techniques, and limited technologies (Bedru *et al.*, 2022; Onoja, 2023), alongside market constraints such as poor credit and weak farmer-market linkages (Adetarani *et al.*, 2021). Though programs like Fadama, FAO, N2Africa, and BOSAP promote GAPs in Borno State to raise productivity and livelihoods (Borno State Government, 2016), food insecurity persists. Empirical data on adoption in the state remain scarce. This study therefore seeks to assess adoption levels to guide policies for enhancing uptake among arable crop farmers in Borno State, Nigeria.



Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT) Volume 5, Number 1A, 2025 ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893



- i. Determine the socio-economic characteristics of the farmers;
- ii. Determine the most cultivated arable crop cultivated by the farmers;
- iii. Assess the most adopted Good Agricultural Practices among farmers (GAPs);
- iv. Determine the socio-economic factors influencing the level of adoption of Good Agricultural Practices; and
- v. Examine the constraints to the adoption of Good Agricultural Practices.

METHODOLOGY

The Study Area

Borno State, located at latitude 12°08′60″N and longitude 12°53′59.99″E, spans an area of 61,435 km² and borders Niger, Chad, Cameroon, and the Nigerian states of Adamawa, Gombe, and Yobe. It comprises 27 Local Government Areas with a projected 2024 population of 7,171,104, based on a 3% annual growth rate from the 2006 census figure of 4,171,104 (National Population Commission, NPC, 2021). Agriculture is the backbone of the economy, predominantly practiced at the smallholder and subsistence level (Ayuba, 2017). Rainfall ranges from 600 mm in the north to 1,200 mm in the south, with a growing season of 100–180 days. Temperatures fluctuate between 13.6°C–31.9°C in January and 9.0°C–28.5°C in August (Quick Projects, 2018). The state cultivates a wide variety of arable crops, fruits, vegetables, tree crops, and livestock (NBS, 2019).

Sampling Technique and Sample Size

Borno State has (3) three Agricultural zones namely; (Zone 1, Zone 2 and Zone 3). Each of the Agricultural zone comprises of (9) nine local government areas. The first stage involved purposive selection of (3) three local government areas from each zone based on the intensity of agricultural production which were Zone 1; Biu, Kwaya Kusar and Bayo were selected in zone 1, in zone 2; Bama, Konduga and Jere, in zone 3; Magumeri, Nganzai and Monguno giving a total of 9 LGAs. The Second stage involved proportionate selection of 131 Agricultural blocks and 119 extension cells. In the third stage, the list of the 15450 registered farmers were obtained from BOSADP which was used as a sampling frame. From the list, farmers were randomly selected proportionate to the registered farmers from each of the selected extension cells. A total of 410 farmers were selected using Yamane (1969) formula.

Thus,

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

$$n=390$$

$$n= Sample size$$

$$N= the finite population of crop farmers$$

$$1= constant$$

$$e = margin error$$

$$n = \frac{15450}{1 + 15450(0.05)^2}$$

$$n=390$$
(3.1)

Thus, the required sample size is 390, the sample size is adjusted by 5 percent to 410 because some of the entries were invalid. In the last stage, the sample size is distributed proportionally to all the selected Local Governments in the study area based on the registered farmers' population size.





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

Table 1: Sampling Procedure

S/N	L.G. A	Extension Blocks	Extension Block	Extension Cells	Extension Cells	Registered Farmers	Farmers Selected
		Diocks	Selected	Cens	Selected	Tarmers	Sciected
1.	Biu	16	11	30	15	2900	77
2.	Bayo	10	7	12	9	1100	29
3.	KwayaKusar	13	9	16	10	1400	37
4.	Bama	80	54	28	14	1500	40
5.	Konduga	11	8	16	9	1000	27
6.	Jere	14	10	12	8	1600	42
7.	Magumeri	15	11	20	13	2000	53
8.	Nganzai	12	10	12	9	1000	27
9.	Monguno	16	11	25	14	2950	78
Total	9	187	131	171	119	15450	410

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Methods of Data Collection and Analysis

Data were collected using structured questionnaires. Trained enumerators where used to collect data. Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics were used in the analysis of data collected for this study. Descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies, mean and percentage were used to achieve objectives (i, ii, iii, iv, v and vii). A five-point Likert scale (was used to further determine objective v) of the mean score in the determination of the mean score to determine the level of adoption of GAPs: 5+4+3+2+1=15/5=3... (3.2)

The mean value of the scale of 3 and was used as the cutoff point to rank the responses. Any mean response of ≥ 3 is considered high adopter, while a mean score of ≤ 2 is considered a low adopter. Inferential statistics in the form of binary logit regression was used to achieve objective (vi) which is the socio-economic factors influencing the level of adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs). Logistics regression was used to measure the influence of socio-economic institutional factors on level of adoption of GAPs among farmers. Ochieng *et al.* (2017) used binary logit regression to analyze the level of adoption of GAPs in Kenya. The model is stated thus:

regression to analyze the level of adoption of GAPs in Kenya. The model is stated thus:
$$Log(Y_i) = Ln\left[\frac{P_1}{1-P_1}\right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + e... (3.4)$$

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if the } i\text{th farmer is High Adopter*} \\ 0 \text{ if the } i\text{th farmer is Low Adopter} \end{cases}$$

*If an ith farmer's mean score in the level of adoption of GAP is 3 and above, s/he is considered a high adopter and but if the mean score is less than 3, the farmer is considered a low adopter.

Where:

 X_1 = Sex (1=Male, 0=Female)

 X_2 = Education (Number of years spent in formal education)

 $X_3 = Farm size (hectares)$

X₄= Membership of Farmer Cooperative (1=Yes, No =0)

 X_{5} = Access to credit (1=Yes, 0=No)

 $X_6 =$ Access to Extension contact (1=Yes, 0=No)

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Socio- economic Characteristics of the Respondents

The findings revealed that arable crop farming in Borno State is predominantly male-dominated (73.85%), likely due to traditional responsibilities such as providing for the family, aligning with Okon and Enete (2022). Low female participation is attributed to socio-cultural and religious factors, though contrasting findings by Nwaiju (2015) and Chisenga (2015) highlight women's significant role in farming in southeastern Nigeria and rural areas, respectively. Majority





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

(76.15%) of the respondents were married, supporting the view that marital status promotes farming involvement (Adebayo *et al.*, 2021; Ibidapo *et al.*, 2018). The results also showed that there was relatively high literacy, with 36.15% having tertiary and 32.82% secondary education, suggesting improved access in Borno compared to findings by Alabi and Adams (2020). Education, though beneficial, is one of many factors influencing adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs), including age, culture, peer interaction, finances, and extension services.

Table 2: Distribution of Respondents based on Socio-economic Factors (n=390)

Gender	Frequency	Percentage	
Male	102	73.85	
Female	288	26.15	
Marital status			
Divorced	25	6.41	
Married	297	76.15	
Single	68	17.44	
Level of Education			
Non-formal	80	20.51	
Primary	41	10.51	
Secondary	128	32.82	
Tertiary	141	36.15	
Membership of Cooperatives			
No	184	47.18	
Yes	206	52.82	
Access to credit			
No	284	72.82	
Yes	106	27.18	
Total	390	100	
Access to extension services			
No	365	93.59	
Yes	25	6.41	
System of land ownership			
Family	137	35.13	
Hired	150	38.46	
None	1	0.26	
Others	20	5.13	
Personal	82	21.03	

Source: field Survey (2023)

Education significantly influences adoption of climate-resilient technologies (Onya *et al.*, 2019; Umeh & Igwe, 2019; Adam *et al.*, 2022). In Borno State, 52.82% of farmers belong to cooperatives that improve access to inputs and credit (Yusuf *et al.*, 2021; Ekweanya *et al.*, 2018). However, 72.82% lack credit and only 27.18% access extension services, much lower than elsewhere (Olagunju & Ayanwale, 2019). Credit is vital for inputs and adoption (Nwaru *et al.*, 2011; Afolabi, 2010; Ololade & Olagunju, 2013; Oboh & Kushwaha, 2009; Philip, 2013), while extension drives technology use like GAPs (Oluwatayo & Oluwaseyo, 2024), yet only 6.41% benefit in Borno versus 77% in Ghana (Akomdo *et al.*, 2023). Land remains constrained, mostly rented or inherited, often fragmented (Fasona *et al.*, 2020; Idowu, 2021; Ibrahim & Nabage, 2023)

 Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of some Socio-economic Continuous Variables

Variables	Mean	Std	Minimum	Maximum
Age	43.015	12.778	19	80
Household Size	8.104	5.92	1	34
Farm size	3.314	2.02	0.45	10
Average Annual income	1176219	1438386	10,000	9,000,000

Source: field Survey (2023)





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

The results from Table 3 showed that the average age of farmers in Borno State was 43 years, indicating a productive and economically active population, consistent with findings by Adebo and Sekumade (2019), Kundiri *et al.* (2022), Onya *et al.* (2019), Achukwu *et al.* (2023), and Omolehin (2019). The results further revealed that the average household size was 8 members, supporting rural labor needs but potentially increasing resource strain, as noted by Oladeji *et al.* (2020) and Ibrahim *et al.* (2023). The average farm size was 3.31 hectares, aligning with Onyekuru and Marchant (2021) and suggesting smallholder, subsistence-based farming. Larger farm holdings are associated with increased adoption of conservation practices (Kolapoa *et al.*, 2023). The mean cultivated area is 8.59 hectares, slightly below the 4.66-hectare average with improved yield potential found in Kebbi State (Idowu, 2021). The results further revealed that the respondents earned an average annual income of N1.18 million, though disparities are wide. This surpasses the N850,000 average reported by Oseni and Winters (2021), potentially due to better market conditions or crop types. However, many farmers still face structural constraints like inadequate credit and extension services

The Most Cultivated Arable Crops by the Respondents

Table 4 identifies 15 arable crops cultivated by respondents, with maize being the most widely grown (82.56%), followed by Bambara nut (76.15%), groundnut (73.07%), and sesame (70.76%). Tomato, sorghum, and millet each accounted for 70.05% of cultivation. These findings indicate that maize is the dominant cereal, while Bambara nut and groundnut are the leading leguminous crops. Sesame also plays a significant role. The widespread cultivation of these crops suggests they are culturally accepted and well-suited to the soil and climate conditions of Borno State.

Table 4: Distribution of Respondents based on Most Cultivated Arable Crops (n=390)

Arable Crops	*Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Maize	322	82.56	1 st
Bambara nut	297	76.15	$2^{\rm nd}$
Ground nut	285	73.07	$3^{\rm rd}$
Sesame	276	70.76	4^{th}
Tomatoes	273	70.00	5 th
Sorghum	273	70.00	5 th
Millet	273	70.00	5^{th}
Rice	265	67.94	$8^{ m th}$
Soybean	263	67.43	9 th
Cowpea	256	65.64	10^{th}
Onion	245	62.82	11^{th}
Sweet Melon	244	62.56	12 th
Okra	156	40.00	13^{th}
Sweet potatoes	123	31.53	14^{th}
Cocoyam	17	4.35	15 th

Source: field Survey. 2023 *Multiple responses.

The findings identified additional arable crops based on cultivation proportion: rice (67.94%, ranked 8th), soybean (67.43%, 9th), cowpea (65.64%, 10th), and onion (62.82%, 11th). Rice was noted as the 6th most cultivated crop, though maize remains the most preferred and widely produced. Other crops cultivated include sweet melon (62.56%, 12th), okra (40.0%, 13th), sweet potato (31.53%, 14th), other crops (9.48%, 14th), and cocoyam (4.35%, 15th). Similar findings by Mudi *et al.* (2019) revealed cultivation of maize, millet, sorghum, groundnut, and cowpea, while Tahir *et al.* (2020) identified maize, sorghum, groundnut, cowpea, pepper, and onion in Borno State.



ALUCH MARKET MAR

ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

Most Adopted Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs)

 Table 5: Distribution of Respondents based on Most Adopted Good Agricultural Practices

Most Adopted GAPs	Frequency*	Percentage
Fertilizer for Planting	285	80.97
Seed Treatment	232	65.91
Intra and Inter Raw Recommended Spacing	228	64.77
Improved Storage Method	223	63.35
Timely Land Preparation	215	61.08
Timely and Clean weeding	212	60.23

Source: field Survey (2023) * Multiple response exists

Table 5 shows that the most widely adopted Good Agricultural Practice (GAP) among arable crop farmers in Borno State. The findings revealed that fertilizer use (80.97%) was one of the widely used GAPs, highlighting its role in improving soil fertility and yields (Abdoulaye & Sanders, 2005; Morris *et al.*, 2007). The results also revealed that seed treatment (65.91%) and recommended plant spacing (64.77%) were also widely used, aiding pest protection, better germination, and efficient resource use (Ojiako *et al.*, 2007; FAO, 2010). Improved storage methods (63.35%) help reduce post-harvest losses (World Bank, 2011; Kader, 2005), while timely land preparation (61.08%) and clean weeding (60.23%) support better soil structure and reduce competition from weeds (Erenstein *et al.*, 2006; Zimdahl, 2013; These adoption patterns reflect broader sustainable farming trends that aim to enhance productivity and resilience (Tilman *et al.*, 2002; Bennett *et al.*, 2012)

Socio-economic Factors Influencing Level of Adoption of GAPs

A logistic regression analysis of 352 farmers showed that socio-economic factors significantly influence GAPs adoption (LR Chi² = 21.61, p = 0.0014). The model has low multicollinearity (mean VIF = 1.24) and no major outliers (hatsq p = 0.493). The constant term (1.873584, p < 0.001) indicates baseline adoption odds. Access to credit increases adoption, while agricultural association membership unexpectedly has a negative effect. Farm size also negatively affects adoption (0.1250464, p = 0.039), suggesting smaller farms are more likely adopters—possibly due to local conditions.

Table 6: Factors Influencing Level of Adoption of GAPs

Variables	Coefficient	Standard error	z-value	Prob > z
Sex	-0.0238925	0.2944263	-0.08	0.935
Education	-0.0704216	0.3695659	-0.19	0.849
Farm Size	-0.1250464**	0.0606829	-2.06	0.039
Membership of Cooperatives	-0.9392567***	0.3042572	-3.09	0.002
Access to credit	1.240503***	0.3491348	3.55	0.000
Access to extension service	-0.1028168	0.292443	-0.35	0.725
Constant	1.873584	0.5216463	3.59	0.000
LR Chi2	21.61***			
Prob > Chi2	0.0014			
Mean VIF	1.24			
Hatsq	-0.1900711	0.2773972	-0.69	0.493
Pearson Chi2	108.90			
Prob > Chi2	0.3776			

Field Survey, 2023

*** Significant at 1% level ** Significant at 5% level, LR is Log likelihood

The study reveals a strong positive relationship between credit access and GAP adoption (coef. = 1.240503, p < 0.001), confirming that credit is essential for technology uptake (Akudugu *et al.*, 2010; Oyewole & Olaguji, 2024). Credit eases liquidity constraints, enabling smallholders to afford inputs like seeds, fertilizer, and labor, thereby enhancing productivity (Bolarinwa & Fakoya, 2011). It also provides a buffer against risks, encouraging adoption in uncertain conditions (Nuryartono *et al.*, 2005). In Nigeria, formal credit has been shown to boost improved seed and fertilizer use, raising output (Awotide *et al.*, 2015; Oyewole & Olaguji, 2024).





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

Constraints to the Adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs)

The adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) in Borno State is significantly limited by inadequate access to essential inputs like seeds, fertilizers, and pesticides, as reported by 78.46% of farmers. This constraint negatively affects productivity and adoption willingness, consistent with findings by Meijer *et al.* (2015) and Adesina and Oluwatayo (2024), who also identified input shortages as a major barrier for smallholder farmers.

Table 7: Distribution based on Constraints to the Adoption of Good Agricultural Practices

Constraints	Frequency*	Percentage	Rank
Inadequate supply of inputs (seeds, fertilizer, pesticides)	306	78.46	1 st
Lack of time to attend training and meetings	291	74.62	2^{nd}
Insecurity problems	291	74.62	2^{nd}
Poor storage facilities	285	73.08	4 th
Insurgency	285	73.08	4 th
Lack of interest to adoption in GAPs	279	71.54	6^{th}
Local politics	277	71.03	$7^{\rm th}$
Fear of risk or uncertainty	277	71.03	$7^{\rm th}$
Poor/ inadequate information	271	69.49	9 th
Climate change	270	69.23	$10^{\rm th}$
Lack of incentives	269	68.97	$11^{\rm th}$
Incompatibility of GAPs technologies	268	68.72	12 th
Late distribution of inputs	263	67.44	13 th
Difficulty of accessing technical information	255	65.38	14^{th}
Language barrier	248	63.59	15^{th}
Illiteracy and poor education	246	63.08	16^{th}
Lack of farming equipment for land preparation	242	62.05	17^{th}
Lackadaisical attitudes of farmers and trainers	216	55.38	18^{th}
Late dissemination of technical information	169	43.33	19^{th}
Inadequate financial institutions / Capital	157	40.26	20^{th}

Source: Field survey: 2023 * Multiple Response

Other significant constraints include lack of time to attend training (74.62%), insecurity (74.62%), poor storage facilities (73.08%), and the ongoing insurgency (73.08%), all of which disrupt the farming environment and limit farmer engagement with GAPs. Issues such as local politics, fear of risk, poor information access, and climate change were also notable, each affecting over 65% of respondents. These challenges highlight the need for targeted interventions to improve input delivery, information dissemination, and farmer security in the region.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The study that farm size, cooperative membership, and access to credit were the significantly influenced adoption of GAPs in the study area. Also, the most cultivated crops were maize, Bambara nut and Groundnut. To enhance the adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) in Borno State the study recommended that,

- i. The government should provide subsidized inputs and invest in rural infrastructure. Strengthening extension services through recruitment and training is vital for continuous farmer education.
- ii. Security must be improved to support safe farming activities. Supporting farmer cooperatives will boost credit access and market efficiency.
- iii. Climate-smart and risk-resilient practices should be promoted through collaboration with NGOs and research institutions.
- iv. Lastly, effective policy implementation requires robust monitoring and evaluation systems to track progress and ensure impact.





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

v. The findings highlight the need for improved input delivery systems, enhanced security, and stronger farmer support services to boost GAP adoption and arable crop productivity in the region.

REFERENCES

- Abdoulaye, T., & Sanders, J. H. (2005). Stages and determinants of fertilizer use in semiarid African agriculture: The Niger experience. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **32**(2): 167–179.
- Abiodun, A. (2016). Good Agricultural Practices and Sustainable Farming in Nigeria: A review. Nigerian *Journal of Agriculture and Food Environment*, **12**(3): 78–85.
- Achukwu, D. O., Nnadi, F. N., & Obiora, C. J. (2023). *Socioeconomic* characteristics and technology use among rural farmers in southeastern Nigeria. Nigerian *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, **27**(1): 50–58.
- Adam, H. A., Musa, M. A., & Danlami, M. (2022). Educational level and climate change adaptation among crop farmers in Kaduna State, Nigeria. Nigerian *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, **14**(2): 45–52.
- Adebayo, A. G., Ogunjimi, S. I., & Yusuf, O. J. (2021). Socioeconomic characteristics and adoption of improved agricultural technologies among arable crop farmers in Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, **25**(2): 15–24.
- Adebo, G. M., & Sekumade, A. B. (2019). Demographic and socioeconomic analysis of farmers and adoption of climate-smart agriculture in Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Environment*, **16**(3): 101–110.
- Adesina, A., & Oluwatayo, I. B. (2024). Constraints to adoption of good agricultural practices among smallholder farmers in Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, **16**(1): 15–22.
- Adetarani, T. I., Adewuyi, S. A., & Jimoh, M. A. (2021). Determinants of Good Agricultural Practices adoption and market access among crop farmers in Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, **16**(1): 24–36.
- Afolabi, J. A. (2010). Analysis of loan repayment among small-scale farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria. Journal of Social Sciences, **22**(2): 115–119.
- Akomdo, S. D., Owusu, E. K., & Asante, F. A. (2023). Extension service delivery and adoption of improved practices among tomato farmers in Ghana. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **18**(5): 200–210.
- Akudugu, M. A., Guo, E., & Dadzie, S. K. (2010). Adoption of Modern Agricultural Production Technologies by farm households in Ghana: What factors influence their decisions? *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, **2**(3): 1–13.
- Alabi, R. A., & Adams, B. D. (2020). Education and productivity among rural farmers in northern Nigeria: A socioeconomic analysis. *Nigerian Journal of Rural Sociology*, **21**(1): 43–51.
- Alabi, R. A., & Akinbode, S. O. (2024). Educational Barriers to GAP Adoption among Nigerian Farmers. *Journal of Agricultural Research and Development*, **12**(1): 43–52.
- Awotide, B. A., Abdoulaye, T., Alene, A., & Manyong, V. (2015). Impact of access to credit on agricultural productivity: Evidence from smallholder cassava farmers in Nigeria. *NSSP Working Paper 33*. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
- Bedru, B., Adebayo, A., & Mohammed, I. (2022). Challenges of agricultural development in sub-Saharan Africa: A Nigerian perspective. *Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Development*, **10**(2): 112–129.
- Bennett, R., Phipps, R., & Strange, A. (2012). The Benefits of Seed Treatment in crop Protection: Farmer Adoption and Economic Returns. *Crop Protection Journal*, **35**(3): 42–47.
- Bolarinwa, K. K., & Fakoya, E. O. (2011). Impact of agricultural credit on agricultural productivity in Ogun State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, **11**(4): 4927–4937.
- Borno State Government. (2016). Agricultural development strategy for Borno State: Enhancing productivity and food security. Maiduguri: Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources.





ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

- Chisenga, J. (2015). The role of rural women in food production and poverty reduction in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Gender and Development Studies*, **2**(1): 66–74.
- Ekweanya, N. M., Okoye, C. U., & Umeh, G. N. (2018). The Role of Agricultural Cooperatives in input distribution among rural farmers in Nigeria. *Journal of Cooperative Studies*, **51**(3): 27–36.
- Erenstein, O., Laxmi, V., & Gupta, R. K. (2006). Resource-conserving technologies in South Asia: Potential, adoption and impacts. CIMMYT.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. (2010). Climate-Smart Agriculture: Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Fasona, M. J., Adekunle, I. A., & Salau, O. R. (2020). Land tenure systems and agricultural productivity in Nigeria: A case for reform. Land Use Policy, 94, 104523.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). Good agricultural practices for sustainable agriculture and rural development. Rome: FAO.
- Ibidapo, O. J., Afolabi, A. A., & Oladeji, J. O. (2018). Determinants of adoption of Good Agricultural Practices among crop farmers in southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Research and Development*, **17**(1): 112–123.
- Ibrahim, A., & Nabage, A. H. (2023). Land Tenure and Agricultural Productivity among Smallholder Farmers in Borno State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **17**(1): 61–70.
- Ibrahim, A., Mohammed, H. A., & Isa, A. G. (2023). Household size and agricultural productivity among rural farmers in northeastern Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Economics and Extension*, **11**(2): 87–96.
- Idowu, A. O. (2021). Customary land acquisition and inheritance patterns in African agriculture: Implications for land use planning. *African Development Review*, **33**(3): 390–401.
- Kader, A. A. (2005). Increasing food availability by reducing postharvest losses of fresh produce. Acta Horticulturae, **682**: 2169–2176.
- Kolapoa, A. O., Ogundele, O. M., & Ajani, E. N. (2023). Farm size and adoption of soil conservation practices in Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental and Agricultural Sustainability*, **5**(1): 77–86.
- Kundiri, A. M., Sani, I., & Adamu, M. (2022). Age Structure and Agricultural Productivity among farmers in northern Nigeria. *Journal of Rural Development Studies*, **18**(2): 60–68.
- Mathew, J. A. (2024). Livelihood strategies among rural poor households in Nigeria: The role of crop farming. *Nigerian Journal of Development Studies*, **20**(1): 45–58.
- Meijer, S. S., Catacutan, D., Ajayi, O. C., Sileshi, G. W., & Nieuwenhuis, M. (2015). The role of knowledge, attitudes and perceptions in the uptake of agricultural and agroforestry innovations among smallholder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, **13**(1): 40–54.
- Morris, M., Kelly, V. A., Kopicki, R. J., & Byerlee, D. (2007). Fertilizer use in African agriculture: Lessons learned and good practice guidelines. World Bank.
- Mudi, Y. M., Mohammed, A., & Jidda, A. M. (2019). Assessment of arable crop production among rural households in insurgency-affected areas of Borno State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, **11**(6): 110–118.
- Nkwuagba, M. N., & Nkamnebe, A. D. (2024). Agro-industrial transformation and sustainable development in Nigeria: A technology-led approach. *Journal of Agricultural Policy and Development*, **9**(1): 33–47.
- Nuryartono, N., Zeller, M., & Schwarze, S. (2005). Credit rationing of farm households and agricultural production: Empirical evidence in the rural areas of Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development.*
- Nwaiju, C. I. (2015). Gender participation in arable crop farming in southeastern Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development*, **7**(1): 32–39.
- Nwaru, J. C., Onuoha, R. E., & Iheke, O. R. (2011). Credit use and technical change in smallholder agriculture: A case of arable crop farmers in Imo State, Nigeria. *New York Science Journal*, **4**(6): 65–70.



CAUCH SALER MAN

ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893

- Oboh, V. U., & Kushwaha, S. (2009). Socio-economic determinants of farmers' loan repayment performance in Nigeria: A case study of Benue State. *International Journal of Social and Economic Research*, 7(2): 123–132.
- Ojiako, I. A., Manyong, V. M., & Ikpi, A. E. (2007). Determinants of adoption of improved seed and fertilizer in Nigeria. *International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*, **9**(1): 1–9.
- Okon, D. P., & Enete, A. A. (2022). Gender dimensions of arable crop farming in southeastern Nigeria: Implications for policy and development. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **17**(4): 156–164.
- Oladeji, J. O., Ayinde, I. A., & Alabi, R. A. (2020). Household size and agricultural output in southwestern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **10**(2): 143–153.
- Olagunju, F. I., & Ayanwale, A. B. (2019). Access to credit and agricultural productivity among crop farmers in southwestern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Development Studies*, **16**(1): 72–85.
- Ololade, R. A., & Olagunju, F. I. (2013). Determinants of access to credit among rural farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: Agriculture and Biology, **13**(2): 17–25
- Oluwatayo, I. B., & Oluwaseyo, S. A. (2024). The role of agricultural extension in climate-smart farming in Nigeria: Empirical perspectives. *Journal of Agricultural Innovation*, **10**(1): 33–44.
- Omolehin, R. A. (2019). Socioeconomic profile of smallholder farmers and their implications for technology adoption in Nigeria. *African Journal of Rural Sociology*, **14**(1): 23–31.
- Omolehin, R. A., Ogunwale, A. B., & Salawu, R. A. (2019). Application of FAO's Good Agricultural Practices framework in Nigeria: Implications for food security. *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, **23**(2): 55–66.
- Onoja, A. O. (2023). Modernizing Nigerian agriculture: Addressing constraints to growth and productivity. African Development Review, **35**(4): 289–302.
- Onya, E. A., Okoli, C. A., & Uchegbu, S. N. (2019). Household characteristics and farming decisions among arable farmers in Abia State, Nigeria. *Journal of Extension Systems*, **35**(2): 21–30.
- Onyekuru, A. N., & Marchant, T. (2021). Smallholder landholding and food security in Nigeria: A policy perspective. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **16**(4): 202–211.
- Oseni, G., & Winters, P. (2021). Agricultural Incomes and Rural Livelihoods in Nigeria: Insights from survey data. *Journal of African Economies*, **30**(1): 1–19.
- Owusu, E. K., & Mensa, A. J. (2024). Financial barriers to GAP adoption in Ghana: Lessons for West Africa. West African Agricultural Economics Review, 9(1): 23–35.
- Oyewole, O. M., & Olaguji, E. A. (2024). Access to credit and its effect on agricultural productivity among smallholder farmers in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **18**(1): 20–34.
- Philip, D. (2013). The role of credit in enhancing agricultural marketing among rural farmers in Nigeria. *African Journal of Marketing and Agribusiness*, **5**(1): 88–95.
- Tahir, A. S., Musa, B. B., & Gadzama, N. M. (2020). Analysis of major food crops cultivated in Borno State, Nigeria: Implications for agricultural planning and food security. Nigerian *Journal of Agriculture and Food Environment*, **16**(2): 80–87.
- Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Naylor, R., & Polasky, S. (2002). Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature*, **418**(9): 671–677.
- Umeh, J. C., & Igwe, K. C. (2019). Socioeconomic characteristics of farmers and adoption of improved farming practices in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Extension*, **20**(1): 101–110.
- Usman, H. A., & Abdullahi, M. B. (2024). Climate and Incentive-related Constraints to GAP adoption in Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Policy*, **14**(2): 112–121.
- Usman, H. A., & Mohammed, T. A. (2024). Political dynamics and farmer attitudes toward innovation. *Nigerian Rural Development Journal*, **10**(1): 77–85.
- World Bank. (2011). Missing food: The case of postharvest grain losses in sub-Saharan Africa. World Bank Report No. 60371-AFR.



Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (NJAAT) Volume 5, Number 1A, 2025 ISSN (Print): 2811-1885; ISSN (Online): 2811-1893



Yusuf, O. J., Salawu, R. A., & Gana, M. J. (2021). Effects of cooperative membership on the productivity of rural farmers in Nigeria. *Journal of Rural Economics and Development*, **15**(3): 55–63.

Zimdahl, R. L. (2013). Fundamentals of weed science (4th ed.). Academic Press.